Journal of Biomedical Sciences | ISSN: 2960-9356
Research Article Peer-review, Open Access

# Features of Providing Highly Specialized Medical Care to Pregnant Women with Covid-19 in Obstetric Practice

**H.T.Mahmudova** [1]

**Abstract**

This article examines the intricate clinical strategies for delivering specialised medical care to pregnant mothers diagnosed with COVID-19. Managing these individuals has considerable problems, since the disease trajectory can quickly transition from mild to life-threatening, necessitating prompt and tailored medicinal interventions. A primary problem is establishing suitable obstetric strategies based on gestational age, the severity of the maternal disease, and foetal health. During early pregnancy, especially prior to 12 weeks, termination may be contemplated due to the teratogenic effects of medication and the potential dangers of foetal abnormalities. Nevertheless, if the pregnancy persists, diagnostic interventions such as chorionic villus sampling or amniocentesis may be required to assess foetal well-being.

In moderate to severe COVID-19 cases, delivery may be required to avert maternal decline, particularly in the presence of comorbidities like as respiratory failure, pulmonary oedema, or septic shock. Caesarean section is the preferable procedure in critical situations, with anaesthesia customised to the mother condition. Regional anaesthesia is advised when possible, but intravenous anaesthesia is designated for severe multi-organ failure. Neonatal treatment is of paramount importance. Newborns are deemed at risk if the mother has confirmed or suspected COVID-19. Immediate isolation, diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2, and stringent infection control measures are instituted at birth. Delayed cord clamping and mother-infant interaction are prohibited to mitigate transmission risk. The prognosis for both the mother and newborn depends on the severity of the disease, the timing of the infection during pregnancy, and the existence of pre-existing health issues. Multidisciplinary collaboration and evidence-based methods are crucial for enhancing outcomes.

**Keywords:** COVID-19, pregnancy, caesarean section, respiratory failure, obstetric management, fetal distress, antiviral therapy, premorbid conditions, neonatal isolation, amniocentesis, intensive care, maternal outcomes.

[1] Assistant of the Department of "Public Health Organization and Management of Health Care", Fergana Medical Institute of Public Health

World of Medicine: Journal of Biomedical Sciences Vol .2 No.5 (2025)
https://wom.semanticjournals.org/index.php/biomed

## Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has significantly altered clinical practice in all medical disciplines, with obstetrics being among the most profoundly affected. Pregnancy inherently entails substantial physiological alterations that may increase women's susceptibility to infectious illnesses. These encompass a diminished immune response, elevated cardiac output, and modified lung capacity, all of which can exacerbate the hazards associated with respiratory viruses such as SARS-CoV-2. Although some pregnant women exhibit relatively minor symptoms, some may rapidly decline, encountering severe problems such as respiratory failure, sepsis, or multi-organ dysfunction necessitating immediate attention. In such instances, the safety of both the mother and child must be meticulously balanced. Clinical decisions are particularly challenging in pregnancies complicated by COVID-19, as the timing and method of delivery can profoundly affect outcomes. In early gestation, when the foetus is nonviable, medical priority may pivot towards safeguarding maternal health, potentially necessitating the consideration of pregnancy termination. In subsequent phases, particularly after 24 weeks, the emphasis shifts to safeguarding both lives—frequently amid significant clinical pressure.

The care of the newborn is equally significant. Infants born to infected moms may encounter the virus during parturition or immediately postpartum. To mitigate this danger, stringent infection control protocols are implemented, encompassing early testing, isolation, and, in certain instances, temporary separation from the mother. Although these measures are implemented to safeguard the newborn, they concurrently present emotional difficulties for both families and care teams. This article examines the complex reality of pregnancy management during the COVID-19 pandemic. It provides insight into the clinical decision-making process, the developing care recommendations, and the essential coordination of obstetricians, neonatologists, anaesthesiologists, and infectious disease specialists.

## Methodology

This study does a descriptive and integrative examination of the clinical care techniques employed for pregnant women infected with COVID-19. Instead of depending on a singular dataset, it utilises a diverse array of real-world observations, expert recommendations, and adaptive obstetric protocols implemented during the epidemic, especially in high-risk maternity units.

Clinical decision-making was informed by personalised evaluations. Each patient underwent a thorough evaluation, taking into account her gestational age, the severity of COVID-19 symptoms, preexisting health issues, and the fetus's present status. This tailored approach was crucial, particularly as the illness trajectory could alter swiftly and unpredictably, even in patients who initially seemed stable.

During the first trimester, the emphasis of management was on mitigating hazards to both the mother and the embryo. If the infection occurred prior to 12 weeks of gestation, the possible embryotoxic effects of antiviral treatments and immune-modulating therapies were meticulously considered. In certain instances, abortion was considered a medical option, especially where there was a significant chance of foetal abnormalities or a decline in the mother's health. For individuals proceeding with the pregnancy, procedures like chorionic villus sampling or amniocentesis were conducted to evaluate foetal chromosomal integrity, contingent upon informed maternal agreement.

In the later stages of pregnancy, particularly post-20 weeks, the mother respiratory and cardiovascular conditions significantly influenced treatment decisions. Emergency caesarean delivery was conducted when conservative therapy did not enhance oxygenation or when respiratory failure advanced despite mechanical ventilation. The justification for surgical intervention differed according to gestational age. From 20 to 23 weeks, the primary focus was on mother survival. After 24 weeks, both maternal and

foetal outcomes were evaluated to safeguard both lives wherever feasible. Anaesthesia was customised to each patient's systemic state. Regional methods were favoured for women exhibiting stable vital signs, whereas total intravenous anaesthesia with ventilatory assistance was employed for severely ill patients displaying symptoms of multi-organ dysfunction.

Neonatal care adhered to stringent infection prevention protocols. Newborns born to women with confirmed or suspected COVID-19 were promptly segregated from the delivery area and relocated to a specialised neonatal unit. Medical personnel consistently donned personal protective equipment, and neonatal resuscitation protocols were executed at a safe distance or in designated rooms. Newborns received diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2 immediately after birth, followed by repeat testing within 48 to 72 hours. If both tests yielded negative results, the infant was exonerated from suspicion. If any result was positive, the child was treated as a confirmed case according to paediatric infectious disease protocols. This methodical approach facilitated adaptable yet systematic clinical judgements, integrating worldwide standards with practical experiences to guarantee safety and responsiveness in obstetric treatment during the epidemic.

**Results and Discussion**

Analysis of COVID-19 cases in pregnant women revealed some similar trends that informed clinical decisions. The severity of the disease was significantly associated with gestational age, pre-existing problems, and the promptness of medical care. The majority of women infected prior to 20 weeks of gestation exhibited moderate symptoms. However, others necessitated hospitalisation due to the swift advancement of respiratory distress. In this cohort, early diagnostic procedures such as chorionic villus sampling or amniocentesis were intermittently conducted to evaluate foetal health in instances when the pregnancy was maintained.

The risk of severe problems in women aged 20 to 24 weeks dramatically increased. Instances of respiratory failure, sepsis, and alveolar oedema were more frequently noted in this cohort, necessitating immediate caesarean delivery in critical circumstances. These deliveries were largely intended to safeguard maternal health, given the uncertainty of foetal life at this stage.

After 24 weeks, decisions frequently became more intricate. As the foetus approached viability, physicians aimed to achieve a careful equilibrium between facilitating maternal recovery and preventing iatrogenic preterm. At this point, the majority of caesarean sections were conducted due to maternal decompensation, foetal discomfort, or deteriorating oxygenation despite comprehensive care interventions. The subsequent table encapsulates clinical outcomes across various gestational groups, derived on institutional observations and literature comparisons.

**Table 1. Summary of COVID-19-Related Obstetric Outcomes by Gestational Age**

| Gestational Age | Common Complications | Delivery Method | Main Indication for Delivery | Neonatal Outcome |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| < 12 weeks | Fever, mild hypoxia | Pregnancy often continued | Maternal stability | Fetal monitoring or CVS |
| 12–20 weeks | Respiratory distress, drug risks | Rare caesarean | Diagnostic interventions | Fetal outcome not prioritized |
| 20–24 weeks | Respiratory failure, edema | Emergency caesarean | Maternal survival | Often non-viable |
| > 24 weeks | ARDS, septic shock, fetal distress | Planned/emergency caesarean | Maternal-fetal protection | NICU admission, variable |

This table demonstrates the modification of clinical care strategies according on gestational age and symptom severity. Outcomes were most advantageous for women detected later in pregnancy who received prompt antiviral and supportive treatment. The presence of intensive care units and neonatal resuscitation teams greatly enhanced the survival rates of both mother and child in critical situations. It

was noted that meticulous oversight, personalised decision-making, and compliance with advancing treatment regimens were essential in enhancing maternal and newborn outcomes. Emergency obstetric procedures were more commonly necessitated in severe COVID-19 patients, especially when respiratory problems were refractory to conventional treatment.

This study's findings highlight the significance of prompt, personalised care in the management of COVID-19 throughout pregnancy. Clinical decisions should be informed by the degree of maternal disease, gestational age, and foetal status. Early pregnancy situations frequently permit expectant treatment, however difficulties in the second and third trimesters may require immediate measures, including caesarean delivery, to save mother and foetal health. The occurrence of respiratory failure, hypoxia, or septic shock necessitates immediate enhancement of care and interdisciplinary collaboration. Neonatal care is crucial, as early testing, isolation, and protective measures diminish the likelihood of postnatal infection. Notwithstanding the hurdles, outcomes markedly improved when multidisciplinary teams implemented systematic protocols, ensuring maternal stability alongside foetal survival. These findings underscore the necessity for revised recommendations, continuous clinical education, and sufficient resource distribution to maternity facilities during pandemics. Ongoing study is crucial to enhance obstetric methods and elevate survival rates throughout future infectious disease emergencies.

## Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic has presented significant issues in obstetrics, especially in the management of pregnant women with moderate to severe illness. This study underscores that delivering efficient, specialised medical care in these instances necessitates a dynamic and highly personalised approach. Clinical decisions concerning the continuation of pregnancy, the timing and method of delivery, and neonatal care must be informed by the severity of maternal symptoms, gestational age, and available medical resources. Emergency caesarean deliveries were frequently imperative to preserve mother lives in cases of acute respiratory decompensation or septic shock. Each case necessitated a meticulous equilibrium between maternal and foetal interests. The application of suitable anaesthetic methods, prompt respiratory assistance, and the early initiation of antiviral treatment significantly enhanced mother outcomes. Crucially, obstetric teams have to stay flexible in response to changing guidelines and clinical presentations.

To mitigate the danger of viral transmission in infants, stringent isolation protocols, appropriate personal protective equipment, and prompt diagnostic testing are essential. The initial separation from the mother, however emotionally challenging, substantially decreased newborn exposure and infection rates. Collaboration among obstetricians, neonatologists, anaesthesiologists, and infectious disease specialists was crucial for providing coordinated and safe care during the perinatal period. The experience acquired during the COVID-19 pandemic has underscored the imperative for robust, adaptive, and proficient obstetric care systems. Going forward, ongoing enhancement of protocols and investment in maternal and newborn services will be essential to protecting outcomes in future public health crises.

## REFERENCES

1. Башарова Г. Г., Абдуллаева М. Х., Эргашов Ш. З. Интерактивная доска в обучении иностранному языку студентов неязыковых специальностей // Science and Education. – 2021. – Т. 2.
2. Eriksson BI., Borris LC, Friedman RJ et al. Rivaroxaban versus Enoxaparin for Thromboprophylaxis after Hip Arthroplasty // NEJM. – 2008; 358: 2765–75.
3. Eriksson BI, Dahl OE, Huo MH et al. Oral dabigatran versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after primary total hip arthroplasty (RE-NOVATE II*) // Thrombosis and Haemostasis. – 2011; 105: 1–11.
4. Makhmudova Khurmatoy Toshtemirovna. Social Conditionality of Incidence in the Population of Fergana City // International Journal of Medical Sciences. – December 2024. – P. 183–185.

5. Башарова Г. Г. Использование интерактивных методов обучения на уроках русского языка и литературы // Вопросы науки и образования. – 2019. – № 18 (65).
6. Maxmudova Xurmatoy Toshtemirovna. Ratsional ovqatlanish mayor va qoidalari // MODERN PROBLEMS IN EDUCATION AND THEIR SCIENTIFIC SOLUTIONS. – 2025. – P. 302–307.
7. Махмудова Х. Т. Social aspects of the structure and frequency of incidence of the population of Ferghana // “Актуальные проблемы диагностики и лечения внутренных болезней”. – 2022. – 05.18; 268–274.
8. Махмудова Х. Т., Кенжаева Х. Ю. Юкумли касалликлар диагностикаси масалалари // “Актуальные вопросы, достижения и инновации в сфере медицинского образования”. – 2022. – 03.04; 400–402.
9. Maxmudova X. T. Role of screening centers in prevention congenital anomalies // Topical Issues of Healthcare – Вестник ТТАА. – 2021.
10. Махмудова Х. Т. Tibbiyot oliygohlarida tibbiyot fanlarini o‘qitishning interfaol usullarini qo‘llashning samaradorligi // O‘zbekistonda fanlararo innovatsiyalar va ilmiy tadqiqotlar. – 2022. – 12-son, 19-oktyabr. – B. 841–845.
11. Махмудова Х. Т. Bemorlarni parvarish qilishning axloqiy me’yorlari // Ijodkor o‘qituvchi. – 2022. – 23-son, 5-noyabr. – B. 228–231.
12. Chen, H., Guo, J., Wang, C. et al. Clinical characteristics and intrauterine vertical transmission potential of COVID-19 infection in nine pregnant women: a retrospective review of medical records. *The Lancet*. – 2020; 395(10226): 809–815. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30360-3
13. Kotlyar, A.M., Grechukhina, O., Chen, A. et al. Vertical transmission of coronavirus disease 2019: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. – 2021; 224(1): 35–53.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.07.049
14. Allotey, J., Stallings, E., Bonet, M. et al. Clinical manifestations, risk factors, and maternal and perinatal outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019 in pregnancy: living systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. – 2020; 370: m3320. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m3320
15. Dashraath, P., Wong, J.L.J., Lim, M.X.K. et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and pregnancy. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. – 2020; 222(6): 521–531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.03.021