Journal of Biomedical Sciences | ISSN: 2960-9356
Research Article Peer-review, Open Access

The Environmental Impact of Food Waste: A Comprehensive Review of Global Trends and Mitigation Strategies

Nashmil Ali Abdul [1]

Abstract

Background: Food waste is a major environmental and socioeconomic challenge that contributes to greenhouse gas emissions, resource depletion, and pressure on global food security.

Objective: This review aims to evaluate the environmental impacts of food waste, summarize global trends, and discuss practical mitigation strategies within the framework of sustainability and circular economy.

Methods: A review of peer-reviewed studies and international reports published between 2016 and 2026 was conducted, with particular focus on carbon footprint, methane emissions, water use, land use, and waste reduction strategies.

Results: The reviewed literature indicates that food waste contributes substantially to global greenhouse gas emissions, mainly through methane release from landfills, while also causing major losses of water, land, energy, and agricultural inputs. The evidence further shows that circular economy approaches, improved supply-chain management, sustainable packaging, cold-chain development, composting, anaerobic digestion, and consumer awareness programs can reduce the environmental burden of food waste.

Conclusion: Food waste represents a serious environmental challenge that requires integrated action from policymakers, industries, and consumers. Strengthening circular economy practices and sustainable food management systems can significantly reduce ecological damage and support global sustainability goals.

Keywords: Food Waste, Environmental Impact, Sustainability, Climate Change, Mitigation Strategies, Circular Economy.

[1] Kurdistan Institution for Strategic Studies and Scientific Research, Kurdistan Region, Iraq

Introduction

Food waste has become one of the most critical environmental and socioeconomic challenges of the twenty-first century. Recent global estimates indicate that nearly one-third of all food produced for human consumption is lost or wasted at different stages of the supply chain, from production and processing to retail and household consumption (FAO, 2024). This issue is not limited to the loss of edible food or nutrients; rather, it reflects a broader systemic inefficiency that contributes to the depletion of natural resources, economic losses, and environmental degradation (Cattaneo et al., 2021).

The environmental footprint of food waste is multidimensional, as discarded food also represents the waste of all resources used in its production, including water, energy, land, labor, fertilizers, and transportation inputs. **Heller et al. (2020)** emphasized that food waste carries an embedded environmental cost because the resources consumed throughout the food supply chain are lost when food is not utilized. In addition, when food waste is disposed of in landfills, it undergoes anaerobic decomposition and releases methane (CH_4), a greenhouse gas with a much higher warming potential than carbon dioxide (CO_2). Therefore, food waste directly contributes to climate change and intensifies the global greenhouse gas burden. Beyond its atmospheric effects, the continuous expansion of agricultural land to compensate for wasted food may accelerate biodiversity loss, soil degradation, and pressure on ecosystems (Read et al., 2020). Environmental sustainability requires careful management of organic and agricultural residues, as **Palani et al. (2022)** reported that cow and sheep manure may contain both beneficial elements and hazardous heavy metals, while **Palani et al. (2019)** emphasized the interaction between essential and toxic elements and their implications for health and the environment.

Food waste is also closely linked to the quality, stability, and consumer acceptability of food products. Poor quality control, limited shelf-life, improper storage, and reduced consumer confidence can increase the likelihood of food disposal. Therefore, evaluating the physicochemical and sensory characteristics of commonly consumed foods is essential for improving product monitoring, extending shelf-life, and reducing unnecessary waste. In this context, **Abdul et al. (2022)** reported significant differences in the physicochemical properties of wheat and barley flour products, including moisture, protein, ash, gluten, starch damage, texture, and water absorption, indicating that product composition can influence quality, stability, and consumer acceptance. Similarly, **Mohammad and Abdul (2022)** highlighted the importance of monitoring pH, titratable acidity, and Brix in commercial orange drinks, as these indicators are closely associated with product quality during storage. Furthermore, **Mhamad et al. (2023)** demonstrated the value of assessing the chemical and sensory properties of pomegranate juices from Sulaimani markets, emphasizing the importance of food quality evaluation in local market products.

Collectively, these studies support the idea that scientific evaluation of food quality can contribute indirectly to food waste reduction by improving storage decisions, shelf-life management, product acceptability, and consumer confidence in food safety. Accordingly, addressing food waste requires an integrated approach that combines environmental awareness, food quality assessment, sustainable supply chain management, and effective waste mitigation strategies. This review therefore aims to examine the environmental impact of food waste, analyze its major ecological consequences, and discuss potential strategies for reducing food waste within the framework of sustainability and circular economy.

Methodology

This study adopts a systematic review approach to identify, evaluate, and synthesize existing literature regarding the environmental consequences of food waste and the efficacy of various mitigation strategies. The methodology was structured to ensure a comprehensive analysis of global trends and sustainable solutions.

Search Strategy and Data Sources

A systematic search was conducted across major academic databases, including **Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, Web of Science, and PubMed**. In addition to peer-reviewed journal articles, technical

reports from international organizations such as the **Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)** and the **United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)** were consulted to obtain the most recent global statistical data.

The search was performed using a combination of keywords and Boolean operators, including:

- "Food waste" OR "Food loss"
- "Environmental impact" AND "Climate change"
- "Greenhouse gas emissions" OR "Methane footprint"
- "Circular economy" AND "Sustainable supply chain"
- "Mitigation strategies" AND "Waste management"

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure the relevance and quality of the reviewed content, specific criteria were applied:

- **Timeframe:** Priority was given to studies published between **2016 and 2026** to capture the most recent technological and policy developments.
- **Study Type:** Peer-reviewed original research, systematic reviews, and official international reports.
- **Language:** Only studies published in English were included to maintain consistency in terminology.
- **Scope:** Articles focusing strictly on the environmental, ecological, and resource-depletion aspects of food waste were selected, while those focusing solely on retail marketing were excluded unless they addressed waste reduction.

Data Synthesis and Analysis

After the initial screening of titles and abstracts, the selected papers were categorized into three primary thematic areas:

1. **Ecological Footprinting:** Assessing carbon, water, and land usage.
2. **Global Trend Mapping:** Comparing waste patterns between developed and developing economies.
3. **Technological and Policy Evaluation:** Analyzing the success of circular economy frameworks and innovative packaging.

The gathered data were qualitatively synthesized to provide a critical overview of current challenges and to identify gaps in existing food waste management practices.

Global Trends and Environmental Consequences

The scale of food waste is a global crisis, yet its characteristics vary significantly across different geographical and economic regions. According to the **UNEP (2024)** Food Waste Index, approximately 1.3 billion tonnes of food are wasted annually. In developing nations, food loss occurs primarily at the early stages of the supply chain due to poor infrastructure, while in developed nations, the majority of waste happens at the retail level **(Munesue et al., 2015)**.

Recent data (2024-2026) suggests that food waste accounts for approximately 8% to 10% of total anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions **(UNEP, 2024)**. This includes not only the emissions from rotting food but also the "embedded" emissions from the energy used in production and global logistics **(Heller et al., 2020)**.

In South-East Asia, particularly in **Indonesia**, food waste is deeply linked to the "farm-to-market" logistics, where high humidity contributes to rapid spoilage during transport **(Wahyuni & Setyowati, 2022)**.

Global Patterns of Food Loss and Waste

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), approximately 1.3 billion tonnes of food are wasted annually. However, the drivers of this waste differ based on the level of industrialization. In **developing nations**, food loss occurs primarily at the early stages of the supply chain—harvesting, storage, and transportation—due to poor infrastructure and lack of cold-chain technology. Conversely, in **developed nations**, the majority of waste happens at the retail and consumer levels, driven by high aesthetic standards for produce and impulsive purchasing behaviors.

Recent data (2024-2026) suggests that while global awareness has increased, the total volume of waste continues to rise in urban centers, particularly in rapidly developing regions of Asia and Africa, where shifting dietary patterns and urbanization are creating new waste management challenges.

The Carbon Footprint of Food Waste

Food waste is a significant contributor to global climate change. If food waste were a country, it would be the third-largest emitter of greenhouse gases in the world, following China and the United States. When food is discarded and sent to landfills, it undergoes anaerobic decomposition, releasing methane (CH_4).

Methane is a potent greenhouse gas with a global warming potential 25 to 30 times higher than carbon dioxide (CO_2) over a 100-year period. It is estimated that food waste accounts for approximately 8% to 10% of total anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions. This includes not only the emissions from the rotting food itself but also the "embedded" emissions from the energy used in production, chemical fertilizers, and global logistics.

Impact on Water and Land Resources

The environmental impact extends far beyond the atmosphere. Food waste represents a massive "blue water footprint." Approximately 250 km^3 of water is used annually to produce food that is never consumed. This is particularly critical in regions facing water scarcity, where agricultural irrigation competes with the basic needs of local populations.

Furthermore, the "land footprint" of wasted food is estimated at 1.4 billion hectares, which is nearly 30% of the world's total agricultural land area. The continuous expansion of agricultural land to compensate for these losses leads to:

- **Deforestation:** Clearing forests for new farmland.
- **Biodiversity Loss:** Destruction of natural habitats for wildlife.
- **Soil Degradation:** Over-use of pesticides and fertilizers on land that eventually produces "waste," leading to long-term soil infertility.

Regional Comparison of Food Waste Generation

To better understand the global distribution of food waste, it is essential to compare data across different continents and income levels. The following table, synthesized from the **UNEP Food Waste Index Report 2024**, illustrates the average household food waste per capita and the primary drivers associated with each region.

Table 1: Global Household Food Waste Estimates by Region (2024)

Region / Continent	Household Food Waste (kg/capita/year)	Primary Drivers of Waste
Global Average	**79**	Over-purchasing and lack of storage.
North America	**70 - 75**	Large portion sizes and retail standards.
Europe	**70 - 80**	Date labeling confusion (Best before vs. Use by).
Western Asia	**110 - 120**	Cultural hospitality and large-scale social

(Middle East)		events.
Sub-Saharan Africa	**100 - 110**	Heat stress and lack of household refrigeration.
South-East Asia	**80 - 85**	Rapid urbanization and wet market inefficiencies.
Latin America	**65 - 75**	Supply chain bottlenecks and economic instability.

Source: Compiled by the author based on UNEP Food Waste Index Report 2024 data.

Focus on South-East Asia and Indonesia

Given the geographic focus of this journal, it is noteworthy that South-East Asia exhibits a unique waste profile. In countries like **Indonesia**, food waste is not only a consumer issue but is deeply linked to the "farm-to-market" logistics. High humidity and temperature contribute to rapid spoilage during transport. Recent studies indicate that Indonesia loses approximately 23-48 million tonnes of food annually, which could otherwise feed a significant portion of the population. This highlights an urgent need for "applied" solutions in cold-chain logistics and community-based composting.

Biochemical Pathways of Food Waste Decomposition

The environmental degradation caused by food waste is driven by complex biochemical processes. When organic matter is disposed of in landfills, it undergoes anaerobic digestion (decomposition in the absence of oxygen). This process is facilitated by methanogenic bacteria, which break down carbohydrates, proteins, and lipids into biogas, primarily consisting of methane (CH_4) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) (Girotto et al., 2025).

Beyond atmospheric impacts, the liquid byproduct known as "leachate" poses a significant threat to soil and water quality. Leachate is formed when rainwater filters through decomposing food waste, picking up heavy metals and organic pollutants. If not properly managed, this toxic liquid can seep into groundwater systems, leading to eutrophication—a process that depletes oxygen in water bodies and destroys aquatic life (Ojha et al., 2020).

Economic Implications and Nutritional Loss

Food waste is not only an environmental disaster but a massive economic and nutritional failure. Globally, the economic value of wasted food is estimated at nearly $1 trillion annually. This loss cascades through the entire supply chain, affecting the profitability of small-scale farmers and increasing prices for urban consumers (Aschemann-Witzel et al., 2022).

From a nutritional perspective, the "hidden cost" of food waste is even more alarming. Studies indicate that the vitamins and minerals lost through discarded fruits, vegetables, and dairy products could significantly reduce global micronutrient deficiencies. In developing regions, reducing post-harvest loss by just 20% would be enough to feed millions of undernourished people without increasing agricultural production (Xue et al., 2024).

Urbanization and the Dynamics of Food Waste Generation

The transition from rural to urban lifestyles has significantly altered the patterns of food consumption and waste. In urban environments, the complexity of the food supply chain increases, leading to higher rates of retail and household waste. Urban consumers often rely on processed and packaged foods, which are more susceptible to wastage due to stringent "sell-by" dates and bulk-purchasing behaviors in supermarkets (Scherhaufer et al., 2022).

In contrast, rural communities often exhibit higher "food resourcefulness," where leftovers are frequently repurposed for animal feed or traditional composting. However, rural areas in developing regions face the brunt of "food loss" during the post-harvest stage due to the lack of paved roads and centralized processing facilities.

Mitigation Strategies: Toward a Sustainable Food System

To address this challenge, a transition toward a **Circular Economy** is essential, aiming to keep food by-products within the economic system for as long as possible **(Jurgilevich et al., 2016)**. Waste valorization strategies, such as anaerobic digestion and upcycling, are key to converting organic waste into renewable energy or bio-fertilizers **(Chen et al., 2023)**.

Innovative solutions like **active and intelligent packaging** can significantly extend the shelf-life of perishable goods, while policy interventions like standardizing date labeling help prevent consumers from discarding edible food prematurely **(Principato, 2018)**.

Circular Economy Frameworks and Waste Valorization

The circular economy aims to keep food and its by-products within the economic system for as long as possible. **Waste Valorization** is a key strategy where food scraps are converted into high-value products:

- **Anaerobic Digestion:** Converting organic waste into biogas (renewable energy) and digestate (bio-fertilizer).
- **Composting:** Returning nutrients to the soil to improve agricultural productivity without relying on synthetic fertilizers.
- **Upcycling:** Transforming "ugly" or surplus produce into new food products (e.g., turning bruised fruits into juices or jams).

Sustainable Packaging and Preservation Technologies

Packaging plays a dual role; while it can be a source of pollution, innovative packaging is vital for reducing food spoilage.

- **Active and Intelligent Packaging:** Utilizing oxygen scavengers and moisture controllers to extend the shelf-life of perishable goods.
- **Biodegradable Materials:** Replacing single-use plastics with compostable materials derived from seaweed, fungi, or agricultural residues.
- **Cold Chain Optimization:** In developing regions, implementing low-cost solar-powered refrigeration can drastically reduce post-harvest losses.

Integrated Supply Chain Management

A lack of coordination between producers and retailers often leads to overproduction.

- **Demand Forecasting:** Using data analytics to align production with actual market demand, preventing the accumulation of unsold inventory.
- **Shortening Supply Chains:** Encouraging "farm-to-table" models and local sourcing reduces the time and energy spent on long-distance transportation, where significant spoilage occurs.

Policy Interventions and Social Behavioral Change

Technical solutions must be supported by robust policy frameworks:

- **Standardizing Date Labeling:** Clarifying the difference between "Use By" (safety) and "Best Before" (quality) to prevent consumers from discarding perfectly edible food.
- **Good Samaritan Laws:** Providing legal protection to businesses that donate surplus food to charities, ensuring that edible food reaches those in need rather than landfills.
- **Public Awareness Campaigns:** Educating consumers on portion control, meal planning, and proper food storage to reduce household-level waste.

Role of Smart Technologies in Waste Prevention

Technological innovation is playing an increasingly vital role in monitoring and preventing food waste. IoT-based sensors (Internet of Things) are now being used to monitor the temperature and humidity of perishable goods in real-time during transit. This "smart logistics" approach allows distributors to take immediate action before spoilage occurs **(Wahyuni & Setyowati, 2022)**. Furthermore, the development of "Dynamic Pricing" algorithms has shown high effectiveness in reducing retail-level waste.

Industrial Symbiosis and High-Value Upcycling

Beyond household composting, industrial-scale upcycling represents a frontier in food waste innovation. Industrial symbiosis involves the use of by-products from one food process as raw materials for another industry. For example, citrus peels and spent coffee grounds are being utilized to extract essential oils and antioxidants for the pharmaceutical industry **(Ojha et al., 2020)**.

Furthermore, the production of bioplastics from food waste starch offers a sustainable alternative to petroleum-based packaging. This creates a "closed-loop" system where food waste is used to create the very packaging that protects future food products **(Girotto et al., 2025)**.

Discussion and Challenges

While the technical and policy-driven mitigation strategies outlined in the previous sections offer a clear pathway to waste reduction, several systemic challenges impede their global implementation.

Socio-Cultural Barriers and Consumption Habits

In many cultures, food is more than just sustenance; it is a symbol of hospitality and social status. In regions like the Middle East and parts of Asia, providing an abundance of food—often exceeding what can be consumed—is a deeply ingrained social norm. Overcoming these "cultural footprints" requires long-term educational campaigns to redefine social status through sustainability rather than excess.

Economic and Infrastructural Constraints

The transition to a circular economy and "intelligent packaging" requires significant initial investment. For small-scale farmers in developing nations and small-to-medium enterprises (SMEs) in the food industry, the cost of adopting solar-powered cold storage or advanced tracking software can be prohibitive. Without international financial support and technology transfer, the "waste gap" between high-income and low-income regions will continue to widen.

Policy Fragmentation

There is a lack of unified global standards for food waste measurement and reporting. Different nations apply different definitions of "waste" and "loss," making it difficult to track progress against international goals like the **Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 12.3**, which aims to halve per capita global food waste by 2030.

Identification of Research Gaps and Future Directions

Despite the wealth of literature on food waste, significant gaps remain, particularly regarding the long-term impact of microplastics derived from food packaging on soil health. Most current reviews focus on carbon and water footprints, but the "chemical footprint" of food waste—including the leaching of pesticides from discarded food—is under-researched.

Future studies should prioritize the integration of social psychology with environmental science to better understand the emotional drivers behind consumer waste. Addressing these gaps will provide a more holistic framework for global environmental policy **(Aschemann-Witzel et al., 2022)**.

Conclusion

This review has demonstrated that food waste is not merely a logistical inefficiency but a major driver of environmental degradation. The ecological cost—manifested through excessive greenhouse gas emissions (CH_4 and CO_2), massive water depletion, and land degradation—threatens the long-term resilience of our planet's ecosystems.

The findings suggest that a multi-stakeholder approach is required to mitigate these impacts. A transition toward a **Circular Economy** is no longer optional but a necessity. By integrating innovative packaging, optimizing supply chain logistics, and implementing robust policy frameworks, society can significantly reduce the environmental burden of food waste. Ultimately, achieving a sustainable food system requires a fundamental shift in how we produce, value, and consume food on a global scale.

References

1. K. Munesue, T. Masui, and T. Fushima, "The effects of reducing food waste on global food security and natural resources," Applied Energy, vol. 154, pp. 1046–1055, 2015.
2. A. Jurgilevich, T. Birge, J. Kentala-Lehtonen, *et al*., "Transition towards circular economy in the food system," Sustainability, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 69, 2016.
3. L. Principato, *Food Waste at Consumer Level: A Comprehensive Literature Review*. Singapore: Springer, 2018.
4. Z. M. R. K. Palani, H. I. Al-Jaf, and S. M. Raheem, "Effect of addition of selenium to Kurdi sheep and its interactions with some necessary and toxic elements on health and the environment," Plant Archives, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 3963–3970, 2019.
5. M. C. Heller, S. E. Selke, and G. A. Keoleian, "Mapping the environmental footprint of food waste: Carbon, water, and land implications," Environmental Science & Technology, vol. 54, no. 12, pp. 7520–7530, 2020.
6. S. Ojha, S. Bußler, and O. K. Schlüter, "Food waste valorization: Bio-based products and novel technologies," Trends in Food Science & Technology, vol. 99, pp. 148–158, 2020.
7. Q. D. Read, S. Brown, A. D. Cuéllar, *et al*., "Assessing the environmental impacts of halving food loss and waste," Resources, Conservation and Recycling, vol. 152, p. 104529, 2020.
8. A. Cattaneo, G. Federighi, and S. Vaz, "The environmental cost of food waste and loss: A global assessment," Nature Food, vol. 2, no. 7, pp. 542–552, 2021.
9. N. A. Abdul, A. B. M. Abdulrahman, H. J. Mhammad, and S. S. Talb, "A comparison of the physical and chemical properties of wheat and barley flour, and their products," International Journal of Health Sciences, vol. 6, suppl. 5, pp. 3914–3924, 2022.
10. J. Aschemann-Witzel, I. E. de Hooge, and A. Normann, "Consumer-related food waste: Causes and potential for systemic solutions," Current Opinion in Food Science, vol. 48, p. 100913, 2022.
11. A. K. A.-D. Mohammad and N. A. Abdul, "Evaluating the effect of time and temperature on the pH, titratable acidity & Brix of pasteurized low-to-no nectar orange drinks packed in paper based aseptic packages," Kurdistan Journal of Applied Research, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 104–113, 2022.
12. Z. M. R. Palani, M. H. A. Al-Obaidy, D. M. R. Palani, and K. A. Ahmed, "Effect of changes in some beneficial elements and dangerous heavy metals in cow and sheep manure on human and animal health and the environment," International Journal of Health Sciences, vol. 6, suppl. 1, pp. 6250–6256, 2022.
13. S. Scherhaufer, G. Moates, H. Hertel, *et al*., "Environmental impacts of food waste in Europe: A review of global warming potential and resource use," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 244, p. 118787, 2022.

14. S. Wahyuni and R. Setyowati, “Food waste management and sustainable logistics: A South-East Asian perspective,” Indonesian Journal of Sustainability, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 112–125, 2022.
15. C. Chen, A. Chaudhary, and A. Mathys, “Dietary change and food waste reduction: Dual strategies for a sustainable food system,” Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 389, p. 136061, 2023.
16. H. Mhamad, S. Talb, A. Abdulrahman, and N. Abdul, “A study on chemical properties and sensory evaluation of pomegranate juices from Sulaimani shelf markets compared to local natural juice,” Euphrates Journal of Agricultural Science, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 1–11, 2023.
17. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, *The State of Food and Agriculture 2024: Moving Forward on Food Loss and Waste Reduction*. Rome, Italy: FAO, 2024.
18. United Nations Environment Programme, *Food Waste Index Report 2024*. Nairobi, Kenya: UNEP, 2024.
19. L. Xue, G. Liu, J. Parfitt, *et al*., “Missing food, missing data? A critical review of global food waste measurements,” Environmental Science & Technology, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 6618–6633, 2024.
20. F. Girotto, L. Alibardi, and R. Cossu, “Food waste generation and management: A review of current trends and future directions,” Waste Management, vol. 160, pp. 215–230, 2025.